

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,294	PUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(3) _____.

(2) David Halstead.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 13, 35, 36

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr noted that the claims cited above appeared to have a typo in the structural formulas: "host" rather than "guest" which would be consistent with the independent claims. Mr Halstead agreed to submit corrected claims.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)